

## United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Oregon

405 East Eighth Avenue, Suite 2600 Eugene, Oregon 97401 CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

FEB 2 4 2014

LODGED\_\_\_\_REC'D\_\_\_
PAID\_\_\_DOCKETED\_\_\_\_

(541) 431-4050 FAX: (541) 431-4047

February 21, 2014

Mr. Mark A. Ditton 2225 NE Alberta #A Portland, OR 97211

Thomas M. Renn, Judge

Howard J. Newman, Law Clerk

Virginia H. Denney, Judicial Assistant

Mr. Paul Garrick PO Box 467 Eugene, OR 97440

RE: WEST, Corey E. & Kathy S.; Case No. 12-63869-tmr13

Mr. Ditton's Application for Supplemental Compensation [Doc. 56]

## Dear Counsel:

The above-referenced Application is pending before me. On February 4, 2014, I convened a hearing thereon, at which I posed several questions and concerns to Mr. Ditton regarding the Application. I noted all the itemized entries supporting the Application appear to relate to an examination and investigation of, and an objection to, Proof of Claim (POC) #19-1 filed by Exeter Finance Corp. Ultimately, that claim was withdrawn, as was the Debtors' objection thereto. At the hearing's conclusion, I gave Mr. Ditton fourteen (14) days to file a written response to my concerns, after which I reserved the right to rule on the record. Mr. Ditton timely provided a response [Doc. 62]. I am now prepared to rule on the Application.

Mr. Ditton's response concedes the two December 19, 2013, entries, as well as the January 9, 2014, entry for drafting and filing the withdrawal of Debtors' objection to claim, are not compensable. It also implicitly concedes, and Form #1305 [Doc. 12] confirms, that his \$3,250 flat fee awarded prior to the instant Application, see ¶ 4 of the Order Confirming Plan [Doc. 49], covered all services rendered through confirmation and the "initial audit of claims." The response acknowledges that a "fair reading" of the scope of an initial claims audit would include "time examining and verifying claims" during the initial claims period or until the trustee issues his report listing filed claims. I concur. Upon review of the itemization, it appears the entries on December 3, 13, and 16, 2013, all involve time examining and verifying POC #19-1, which I note was timely filed. As such, they are covered by the previously awarded flat fee, and are not compensable as supplemental fees.

Messrs. Ditton & Garrick February 21, 2014 Page-2

TMR:vhd

ï

The balance of the itemized entries are compensable as reasonable services under 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(4)(B). They total \$231.00. A separate Order will be entered allowing that amount. This letter constitutes my findings of fact and conclusions of law under FRBP 7052. They shall not be separately stated.

Very truly yours,

THOMAS M. RENN Bankruptcy Judge